```
Page :
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                            3
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
                          WESTERN DIVISION
                                                                                                                                    PAGE
                                                                               DIETER WILHELM WOLFGANG KORTE
                                                                                        CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. FREKING:
    DOUGLAS W. BAILLIE,
                   Plaintiff,
                                                 CASE NO
            vs.
9
                                                c-1-02-062
   CHUBB & SON INSURANCE:
10
                                                                            10
                   Defendant
11
12
                                                                            12
            DEPOSITION OF: DIETER WILHELM WOLFGANG KORTE
                                                                            13
14
                              By the Plaintiff
            TAKEN:
15
            DATE:
                              February 27, 2003
16
                                                                            16
                              Commencing at 9:00 a.m.
            TIME:
17
                                                                            17
                              Offices of:
            PLACE:
                               Freking & Betz
18
                                                                            18
                               215 East Ninth Street
                                                                                                        COMPUTER
19
                               Fifth Floor
                                                                            19
                               Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
20
                                                                                                              DISK
                                                                            20
                              RAYMOND E. SIMONSON
21
            BEFORE:
                                                                            21
                               Registered Merit Reporter
                               Notary Public - State of Ohio
22
                                                                            22
                                                                            23
```

```
Page 4
                                                               Page 2
  APPEARANCES:
                                                                                    DIETER WILHELM WOLFGANG KORTE
          On behalf of the Plaintiff:
                                                                       2 of lawful age, a witness herein, being first duly sworn as
                 RANDOLPH H. FREKING, ESQ.
                                                                       3 hereinafter certified, was examined and testified as
                     ٥f
                 Freking & Betz
                                                                         follows:
                 215 East Ninth Street
                 Fifth Floor
                                                                                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
                 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
                                                                       6 BY MR. FREKING:
          On behalf of the Defendant:
                                                                       7
                                                                               Q. Hi, Dieter.
                 DAVID T. CROALL, ESQ.,
                                                                       8
                                                                               A. Hi.
                 Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur
250 East Fifth Street, Suite 2200
                                                                       9
                                                                                   How you are you?
                 Cincinnati, ohio 45202-5117
10
                                                                      10
                                                                                   Good.
                                                                                    We just met, but my name is Randy Freking,
                                                                      11
                    STIPULATIONS
12
                                                                      12 and I represent Doug Baillie in connection with the matter
                 It is stipulated by and between counsel for
13
                                                                      13 he's brought here in Federal Court in Cincinnati, and
   the respective parties that the deposition of DIETER
                                                                          we're here today to conduct your deposition.
   WILHELM WOLFGANG KORTE, a witness herein, may be taken at
                                                                                    Ray will take down your answers to various
   this time by Counsel for the Plaintiff as upon
                                                                       16 questions. And we just ask you to consider, you know, the
   cross-examination pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
                                                                       17 questions as carefully as possible and take whatever time
   Procedure; that the deposition may be taken in stenotypy
                                                                       18 you need to answer the questions. There's no time
19 by the notary public-court reporter and transcribed by him
                                                                       19 deadline whatsoever. I know you've got to leave by 11:20,
   out of the presence of the witness; that the transcribed
                                                                      20 but that doesn't mean we have to finish by that time.
   deposition is to be submitted to the witness for his
                                                                      21
                                                                               A. Okay.
   examination and signature; and that signature may be
                                                                                   We can always resume on another date. So
                                                                       22
   affixed out of the presence of the notary public-court
```

24

reporter.

23 take whatever time you need to answer questions today.

Page 5

Also, if I ask you any questions that you 2 don't understand, please feel free to ask for

3 clarification, a definition of terms, you know, anything

4 like that --

5

11

A. Okay.

Q. -- that makes it possible for you to answer

7 the question as honestly as possible.

Thirdly, Ray will not guess what you mean if

9 you shake your head or make some other gestures. So all

10 your responses today have to be verbal. Okay?

A. Okay.

Q. If you would like to consult with 12

13 Mr. Croall, I assume he's your attorney for purposes of

14 this deposition, so I think our custom and practices allow

15 you to do that, assuming, provided, there's not a question

16 on the floor. We prefer you do that between questions

17 rather than during questions.

MR. CROALL: Just so we're clear, I 18

represent the company, not Mr. Korte individually. 19

But, yeah, I'm here today to answer any questions 20

that he might have about the process. And if he's 21

got a question, even if the question is pending, if 22

he's got a question about privilege or whether 23

there's some reason he should not answer it, he's 24

Page 6

certainly free to consult with me about that.

Q. Okay. And I think last, but not least, if

3 you want to take a break at any time, just let us know.

4 We're happy to accommodate that. If you need some more

5 water, if you'd like a soft drink or coffee, let us know.

Would you like anything like that before we 6

7 start?

1

A. No thanks.

Q. All right. Cool. Dieter, can you basically

10 start the deposition after my diatribe there by stating

11 your full name and your current home address, your current

12 telephone number, your marital situation, your family

13 situation, et cetera, stuff like that?

A. Dieter -- do you need the middle name? 14

Q. Sure. 15

A. Wilhelm Wolfgang Korte. 16

Q. Okay. 17

A. Address is 10355 Brentmoor Drive, Loveland, 18

19 Ohio, 45140.

Q. Okay. And what's your current home 20

21 telephone number?

A. 697-1671.

Q. And are you married? Do you have any 23

24 children?

22

A. Married, two children. 1

Q. Do either your wife or your children have 2

3 anything to do with Chubb in terms of work?

A. Other than my employment?

Q. Right. 5

A. No. 6

Q. All right. And, Dieter, how long have you

8 worked for Chubb?

A. 12 years and two days. 9

Q. Okay. Can you just give me kind of a 10

11 rundown of your career with Chubb?

A. I started in the Detroit office as a 12

13 commercial underwriting trainee; stayed in the Detroit

14 office through various positions until June of '97.

In June of '97, I was promoted to become the

15 16 underwriting manager in our suburban Illinois office. I

17 stayed there till October of '98, at which time I was

18 promoted to my current position as regional underwriting

19 manager in Cincinnati.

Q. And as the regional underwriting manager, do 20

21 you deal with underwriting beyond commercial underwriting,

22 or are you limited to commercial underwriting?

A. No; I'm limited to commercial underwriting. 23

Q. All right. And you've dealt with commercial 24

Page 8

1 underwriting matters, it sounds like, your entire career 2 with Chubb?

A. That's correct.

Q. All right. And, Dieter, what is your date

5 of birth?

3

9

 A. April 11th, 1966. 6

Q. And did you come to Chubb straight out of

8 college or something?

A. Correct.

Q. All right. Where did you go to school? 10

A. I graduated from Western Michigan University

12 in Kalamazoo, Michigan.

Q. And where were you born? 13

A. In Germany. 14

Q. What city? 15

A. Iserlohn. Let me spell that for you. 16

17 I-s-e-r-l-o-h-n.

Q. All right. Was that in, I assume -- let me

19 ask you this, but I assume West Germany?

A. Correct. 20

Q. Back in the old days? 21

A. Yeah. 22

How long have you been in the States? 23

On and off, I spent different time frames 24

Page 25

- 1 Q Sometimes you would have a business dinner?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Or you would -- you might have socialized
- 4 together at business functions? Conventions?
 - A. Correct.

5

- 6 Q. Things like that, right?
- A. Correct.
- 8 O. Have you ever, like, played golf with him or
- 9 gone to a sporting event with Mr. Baillie?
- 10 A. Both, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. But on a business-related purpose?
- 12 A. Yes. Those would have been
- 13 business-related.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now tell me, how did you find out Mr.
- 15 Baillie had been fired?
- 16 A. Mr. Baillie called me at my home.
- 17 Q. And what do you recall about that?
- 18 A. It was a Friday evening, and I believe it
- 19 was the day that he had the discussions with Mr. Szerlong,
- 20 and he called me -- I think he was concerned just how I
- 21 would take, you know, his departure from the company,
- 22 whatever you want to call it, and he -- I'm trying to
- 23 recollect the entire conversation. Give me just a second.
- 24 Q. Okay. Take whatever time.

Page 26

- A. He put it in the context of he had a
- 2 discussion with Mr. Szerlong and they agreed to disagree
- 3 and that he was no longer employed by Chubb. And he said
- 4 it had nothing to do with anything I had ever done, my
- 5 business results or performance. He said, "It's a great
- 6 company, that you'll have a great career with the
- 7 company," and, you know, he's going to -- that's pretty
- 8 much the extent of his conversation.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And he just said -- he re-emphasized -- he
- 11 wanted to make sure that I recognized that it had nothing
- 12 to do with anything that I had done or my performance
- 13 level.
- 14 Q. Okay. Sounds like a fairly professional
- 15 conversation.
- 16 A. Yes. It was very professional.
- 17 Q. All right. Have you ever had any
- 18 conversations with Mr. Baillie since that you can recall?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe in any way the number of
- 21 conversations you've had with Mr. Baillie since then?
- 22 A. I had one conversation face to face, and I
- 23 received an e-mail from him since then.
- Q. Okay. What was the context of your

- 1 face-to-face meeting?
- 2 A. It was a business convention in the late
- 3 fall of 2001 at the Hyatt here in Cincinnati, and he was
- 4 manning a booth for a charity organization that he had
- 5 been part of called Insuring the Children at an insurance 6 convention.
- 7 Q. Okay. And do you remember anything from
- 8 that face-to-face conversation, other than you engaged in
- 9 some small talk?
- 10 A. Yes. We had some conversations about Chubb,
- 11 about the state of the marketplace, conversations about
- 12 Mr. Szerlong, and about -- he made comments about his
- 13 termination.
- 14 Q. Okay. This was in the late fall?
- 15 A. Yeah. I -- my best guess was -- it was
- 16 getting pretty cold outside. It probably would have been
- 17 early November, late October, early November of 2001.
- 18 Q. Okay.
 - A. It was -- I think it's called Big I Day,
- 20 which is a CPU convention. It's a professional insurance
- 21 organization.

19

22

- Q. Big I Day, I standing for insurance?
- 23 A. I believe that's what it stands for.
- 24 Q. Okay. Is that like an annual meeting of

Page 28

Page 27

- 1 some sort?
- A. Annual, by -- yeah. They bring in speakers,
- 3 talk about various topics.
- 4 Q. All right. Now, prior to the day he spoke
- 5 with you at your home -- you said he was very professional
- 6 the day of his termination apparently. Had Mr. Baillie
- 7 ever engaged in any kind of conduct that you were aware of
- 8 that you thought was unprofessional or not in the interest
- 9 of Chubb, that you thought was wrong in some manner in
- 10 some way, shape, or form?
- 11 A. That would be my personal judgment, right?
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 A. That's what you're asking for?
- 14 Q. Yeah.
- 15 A. Yeah, I would say.
- 16 Q. Okay. What would you -- can you describe
- 17 what you're thinking of?
- 18 A. Again, this is my personal judgment. I
- 19 think there were events where Mr. Baillie was the only one
- 20 drinking alcohol.

21

- Q. Okay.
- 22 A. There was at least one event where he
- 23 offended me personally at a meeting.
- 24 There was a department meeting that I held

12

15

16

18

21

Page 29

1 where he started reading a newspaper in the middle of a2 department meeting that I was conducting.

- Q. Okay.
- 4 A. Those are the ones that come to my mind
- 5 immediately.
- 6 Q. Well, do you have any notes or documents or
- 7 memos --
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. -- at home or the office that would refresh 10 your recollection some way?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. That wasn't my job to do.
- 14 Q. When do you think he read this newspaper
- 15 during one of your meetings?
- 16 A. You mean the date? The date?
- 17 Q. Yeah. Do you know the year? Can you put it
- 18 somewhere in relationship to his termination?
- A. Probably 2000.
- 20 Q. Okay. Did you discuss this with anybody?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Who did you discuss it with?
- 23 A. Mr. Tazic and Ms. Haggard, those two.
- Q. Okay. What did you tell them about it?

Page 30

- A. In colorful language that I was upset and I
- 2 thought it was unacceptable.
- 3 Q. Okay. Why did you think it was
- 4 unacceptable?
- 5 A. Because I felt it was unprofessional.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. And it was demeaning the intent of our
- 8 meeting.
- 9 Q. How many meetings did you have like that, do
- 10 you think, where Mr. Baillie would attend?
- 11 A. We -- that type of meeting is being held on
- 12 a monthly basis in our office.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. He attended a fair amount. I couldn't tell
- 15 you the exact percentage of his attendance.
- 16 Q. Okay. Were there any other meetings that
- 17 you can recall in which you thought any conduct by Mr.
- 18 Baillie was unacceptable or unprofessional or demeaning?
- 19 A. Yes. He held a branch meeting where he
- 20 classified me as an overpaid employee.
- Q. Tell me the context of that. How would that
- 22 come up?
- 23 A. Let me dig deep.
- 24 It was -- he was trying to put it in the

- 1 context of saving money, and I had suggested for customer
- 2 service to get in a car and make a delivery to an agent in
- 3 Dayton. I believe it was a policy. It might have been a
- 4 form or something they needed from us.
- And in front of the branch he used it as an
- 6 example where, instead -- he said, "Instead of using
- 7 Dieter's overpriced salary to drive up there and deliver
- 8 that, we used a courier for 10 or 20 dollars," whatever
- 9 the price tag might have been, "instead."
- 10 And he did that in front of the entire
- 11 branch, and I was very offended by that.
 - Q. So your interpretation of that was he was
- 13 saying you were paid too much, rather than it's kind of
- 14 silly to have -- how much do you make approximately?
 - A. Do I have to answer that?
 - MR. CROALL: It doesn't really have any
- 17 relevance. It's personally intrusive, and I'm
 - pretty comfortable instructing him not to answer
- that. He makes more than a courier. I'll
- 20 stipulate to that.
 - Q. You didn't think that Mr. Baillie meant from
- 22 a cost standpoint, "Dieter, it's a heck of a lot cheaper
- 23 to get a courier to take something to Dayton than have you
- 24 drive it up there"?

Page 32

- 1 A. I would say that would have been the
 - 2 appropriate way to say it, yes, but he chose -- he did not
 - 3 choose those words.
 - 4 Q. So your criticism -- what do you think was
 - 5 his intent? Do you think his --
 - A. I don't want to speculate on that.
 - Q. Do you think he meant to insult you?
 - 8 A. I don't know. I can't read his mind. I
 - 9 know the words he used, and I was offended by it.
 - 10 Q. You were offended. You didn't give -- you
 - 11 were offended because you thought he was insulting you?
 - 12 A. I was offended because of the words that he
 - 13 used in front of the branch, correct.
 - 13 deci ili front of the branch, correct.
 - 14 Q. Okay. Did anybody tell you as a result of
 - 15 that meeting that they thought it was insulting to you?
 - A. Yes.

16

21

- 17 Q. Who was that?
- 18 A. Both Mr. Tazik and Mr. Gates.
- 19 Q. Okay. Anybody else that you can recall
- 20 telling that to or discussing that with?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- 22 Q. Okay. Any other meetings in which you
- 23 thought he said something that you thought was
- 24 inappropriate, unprofessional, insulting, demeaning?

Page 37 1 because you were instructed by Chubb not to communicate Q. Did anybody else tell you that they thought 1 2 with Mr. Baillie? 2 it was inappropriate as well? A. No. A. Did they use those words? No. Did people 3 Have you ever been instructed by anybody at 4 snicker? Yes. Chubb not to communicate or talk to Mr. Baillie? Q. Anybody in particular? 5 A. I don't recall now. It was a large group of A. No. 6 6 MR. CROALL: Other than counsel. 7 7 people. Go ahead and answer. Q. And was Mr. Szerlong in attendance? 8 8 9 A. Oh, no. 9 Q. No? No one other than counsel told you Q. Did you ever mention this to Mr. Szerlong, 10 10 11 that? 11 to your recollection? MR. CROALL: You're not asking about 12 A. No. 12 conversations with counsel? Q. Okay. Anything else you ever you personally 13 13 MR. FREKING: No. 14 observed during your tenure under Mr. Baillie that you 14 MR. CROALL: And I'm instructing him not to 15 thought was inappropriate, unprofessional, demeaning, 15 answer about conversations with counsel. 16 insulting, or not in the best interest of Chubb? 16 MR. FREKING: I'm just clarifying. He said A. Nothing stands out right now, no. 17 17 something about other than counsel, so I was Q. Do you have any notes or records --18 18 A. You mean during his employment, right? clarifying. 19 19 Q. Tell me about how Mr. Baillie was as a boss. 20 Q. Right. 20 What do you mean by how he was? A. Is that what you're asking? 21 21 Q. If someone said to you, "Was Baillie a good 22 Q. Right. 22 23 boss?" how do you think you'd answer? A. Nothing that I can recall. 23 MR. CROALL: You're asking for his personal And you don't have any notes or documents 24 Page 40 Page 38 opinion? 1 that would refresh your recollection? 1 Q. As of -- you know, if you can put yourself 2 A. No. 3 back in your shoes --Q. Okay. Great. Tell me about the e-mail that A. Um-hmm (nodding head affirmatively). 4 he sent you or you sent him. You said there was some Q. -- of when he was your boss? 5 e-mail; there was some correspondence between you and him. A. Um-hmm (nodding head affirmatively). A. Oh, after his --Q. What was your belief while he was your boss 7 Q. Departure. 8 of how good a boss he was? A. -- departure? Yeah. He sent me an e-mail. A. Well, I would classify Doug as having been a 9 It would have been after thanksgiving of 2001, and the 10 nice guy, other than probably the two incidences that I 10 gist of the e-mail was -- I don't recall the entire 11 mentioned to you earlier. 11 verbiage, but in essence, "I'm in Florida now close to I was disappointed about his lack of 12 12 Disney World." 13 engagement in my business issues. I -- what would be the Basically, "If you want to stay with us," -best way to characterize it? 14 you know, he has an open invitation, and, you know, "Don't I was looking for more. I was looking for 15 take the loss too hard." 16 more probably leadership. I was looking for more maybe And he was referring to Michigan having lost

17 to Ohio State the prior weekend.

Q. Okay. Were you offended --18

A. No. 19

Q. -- or insulted by that? 20

A. No. No. I didn't respond. 21

O. You thought that was a friendly e-mail?

A. Yeah. Yeah. 23

Was one of the reasons you didn't respond

17 ability to listen, patience to listen.

There were probably times where I would have

19 probably liked a little bit more support.

That's probably in a nutshell the best way I 20

21 can summarize it.

22

Q. Okay. Overall, it seems pretty negative.

23 All you mentioned was negative things about him. All I

24 asked you was how he was as a boss.

Page 65

-- his largest customer. 1

- Q. How about Barton? 2
- A. He's the marketing manager. 3
- Q. Haggard, we talked about?
- A. She's human resources. 5
- Q. Tazic? 6
- 7 Claims.
- And then Dan Krohn? Do you recall who he 8
- 9 replaced?
- A. (No response.) 10
- O. Still not in your mind? 11
- A. I told you I would get back to you. 12
- Q. Well, that's all right. 13
- A. Not yet. Darn it. This is really 14
- 15 irritating me, because I used to hang out with the guy.
- 16 Now I can't even remember his name. He actually went to
- 17 our Illinois office where I came from. God. This is
- 18 ridiculous.
- Tim Dadik. Oh, finally. It's D-a-d-i-k. 19
- Q. Okay. 20
- A. I should probably mention that Mr. Dadik 21
- 22 replaced another gentleman during Mr. Baillie's tenure.
- Q. Okay. 23
- A. And his name really does escape me. He 24

- A. It's a variety of things. It's -- I think 1
 - 2 the quality of our staff is a lot better. I would say
 - 3 that the marketplace has helped tremendously in terms of
 - 4 affirming the insurance market, our ability to obtain
 - 5 higher prices for the same coverages.
 - We did what we call the calling of our book,
 - which means we non-renewed business that was no longer
 - 8 desirable for us; had a little bit more luck.
 - Q. Did Mr. Baillie -- you know, on the topic of
 - 10 kind of getting rid of the bad business, did Mr. Baillie
 - 11 support you in that regard or encourage you in that
 - 12 regard?
 - A. We probably had more instances where we 13
 - 14 argued over it than agreed on it.
 - Q. Okay. 15
 - A. I think Doug's preference was to find a way 16
 - 17 to retain clients versus non-renewing them.
 - Q. Just correct me where I'm wrong on this. 18
 - 19 Would you always try to retain customers by simply at
 - 20 least trying -- first trying to raise their premiums?
 - A. Personally? No. 21
 - Q. Okay. Did Mr. Baillie think that that's
 - 23 always kind -- that's sometimes -- rather than simply
 - 24 non-renewing, would you agree with me that sometimes Mr.

Page 66

22

- 1 would have left at some point, I think, in '99.
- Q. Okay. I can ask Doug. Doug will probably 2 3 remember.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. What kind of familiarity did you have with
- 6 respect to, you know, how the other areas of business were
- 7 doing when you arrived? You know, some of the operations
- 8 of your peers?
- A. When I arrived? 9
- Q. Yeah. When you arrived, were they all real 10
- 11 healthy in terms, you know, financially?
- A. I honestly don't recall, no. I know I had a 12
- 13 mess on my hands. I thought that was enough work to do
- 14 for one person.
- Q. Okay. You don't remember. Have they -- did
- 16 you have like a feeling as to whether or not they were
- 17 profitable?
- A. I think it was okay. 18
- Q. Did you have a feeling as to -- your 19
- 20 business eventually turned around in 2001; is that fair to
- 21 say?
- A. 2001 and 2002, yes. 22
- Q. Okay. And you attribute that to the changes 23
- 24 that you were able to put in place? Better decisions?

Page 68

- 1 Baillie would say, "Well, let's first try to raise their
- 2 premium and make it a fairer risk in light of their" --
- 3 or, "make it a fairer price in light of their risk"?
- A. (No response.)
- Q. It was kind of a risk-assessment thing, 5
- 6 right?

10

- A. Well, he -- his preference would have been
- 8 to retain customers. I would agree with that, yeah.
- Q. And --
- A. Him and I had conversations where he would
- 11 actually make the point of telling me that these are
- 12 paying customers and that I should look at them that way.
- Q. Okay. Did he say things along the lines of
- 14 what I just suggested, that, "Dieter, let's first look at
- 15 just raising their premiums"?
- A. I don't know that he used specifically those
- 17 terms, but he did on occasion ask me to find a way, if
- 18 there was a way to retain a customer, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And you -- you two just had kind --19 20 would you describe it as kind of a professional
- 21 disagreement?
- A. Oh, yeah. That's -- those conversations go 22
- 23 on consistently --

24

Q. Okay.

Case 1:02-cv-00062-SAS Baillee v. Chubb Page 7 of Korte (2/27/03) Document 37-11 Filed 09/15/2003

Page by	P	age	69
---------	---	-----	----

- -- between underwriting managers and branch 2 managers.
- Q. Right, because there's almost an inherent 3 4 conflict, right? You're almost better off being able to
- 5 say you accurately predicted the risk a hundred percent of
- 6 the time and it's almost a cautious approach on your --
- 7 makes you -- I'm not saying you do this, but if somebody
- 8 wanted to be cautious and just make sure that they make
- 9 themselves look the best as an underwriter, they can be
- 10 pretty conservative in their view, because then there's no
- 11 great losses.
- A. I -- if you ask me for my opinion, I 12
- 13 wouldn't agree with that.
- Q. Some underwriters could be -- could make a
- 15 mistake that way?
- A. Well, again, I don't know that it's a 16
- 17 mistake, if you are in the business of assuming risk, to
- 18 be conservative. You know, it has its pros and its cons.
- 19 Q. Right.
 - A. If you assume too much risk, you're going to
- 21 affect your revenues, but are you going to maintain profit
- 22 balances.

20

- Q. You have to have a reasonable level --23
- You have to have a balance. 24

- A. And we felt -- when I say we, I mean the
 - 2 department and my -- the underwriters and myself felt

Page 71

Page 72

- 3 that, more often than not, it appeared that we had to
- 4 justify ourselves internally first before we could deliver
- 5 a message to our agents that we felt was the right
- 6 business decision and the right underwriting decision to
- 7 take.
- You had to justify things internally to 8
- 9 Chubb?
- A. Yes, to the marketing area. 10
- Q. To the marketing area? 11
- 12 That you were referring to, um-hmm (nodding
- 13 head affirmatively).
- Can you give me an example of that? 14
- (No response.) 15
- Just generally, maybe not a specific Q. 16
- 17 example.
- A. There were a lot of examples of that. 18
- Just give me one or two that you think are 19
- 20 fair.
- A. Jeez. We had an account -- the name escapes 21
- 22 me -- where we had decided, because of unacceptable
- 23 conditions, to part ways with the risk, and we've had to
- 24 have several conversations in the branch explaining why we

Page 70

- Q. -- of conservatism?
- A. You have to have a balance of assuming risk
- 3 and also trying to grow your business, yeah.
- Q. Okay. And that's the -- finding that
- 5 delicate balance is where people --
- A. It's not easy. 6
- O. -- can disagree?
- A. Yeah. Oh, yeah. I mean, I can play
- 9 Monday-morning quarterback on every single business
- 10 decision an underwriter has ever taken and come back with
- 11 a better way to do it.
- Q. Okay. How would you describe the manner in 12
- 13 which the effort of the marketing area assisted you in
- 14 turning around the profitability of your area?
- A. During Mr. Baillee's tenure? 15
- Q. Well, during, while Mr. Baillie was there. 16
- 17 A. Insufficient.
- Okay. Explain to me why you thought it was 18 O.
- 19 insufficient.
- A. More often than not, the perception of 20
- 21 myself and the employees in my department was that the
- 22 difficult messages had to be delivered by us versus the
- 23 marketing group.
- 24 Q. Okay.

- 1 were doing this, and, you know, justifying our business
 - 2 decision, and we felt second-guessed.
 - Q. Okay. How does marketing interact with a
 - 4 decision like that? That's what I'm trying to figure out.
 - A. Well, I guess marketing at that point more
 - 6 or less takes the agent's view that, you know, "Why do we
 - 7 have to do this? Why can't we stay on the risk?" And our
 - -- you know, we have the underwriting view that we should.

 - Q. When you're having these professional
- 10 disagreements with your colleagues over marketing, okay,
- was there any role for Mr. Baillie to play?
- A. In my opinion? 12
- Q. Yes. 13
- A. Again, I don't know. I did not have access 14
- 15 to his direct goals or mandates. In my opinion, I would
- 16 have liked -- personally, I would have liked to have seen
- 17 him support us in the underwriting area more in those
- 18 instances.

21

- Q. And the marketing people probably would have 19
- 20 preferred if he supported them more?
 - A. I can't speak for them.
- Q. When you're having these professional 22
- 23 disagreements with your colleagues over marketing, did you
- 24 ever see Mr. Baillie kind of interject himself into it and